

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,118	07/11/2003	Pierluigi Pugliese	Pugliese31	4099	
47384 . 7590 07/31/2007 RYAN, MASON & LEWIS, LLP			EXAMINER		
90 FOREST A	VENUE	HICKS, MICHAEL J			
LOCUST VALLEY, NY 11560			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2165		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-,
٤.
٠,
,

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/618,118	PUGLIESE, PIERLUIGI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Mike Hicks	2165	

•			1					
~	Mike Hicks	2165						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat	e of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN								
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th						
	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ocauso					
	3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of riew matter (see NOTE below):							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) ⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected cialins.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / unonamont	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 22-41.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wilvided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
	JEFFREY GAFFII SUPERVISORY PATENT E	XAMINER						
	TECHNOLOGY CENTER	R 2100						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new considerations can be found, at least, at claim 22 by the addition of "via the user interface' claim language.